IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

TROY LAMONT BROOKS, #156 706

Plaintiff, *

v. * 2:07-CV-177-WKW (WO)

ALABAMA DEPARTMENT OF *

CORRECTIONS, et al.,

Defendants.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

On May 29, 2007 the court entered an order granting Plaintiff fifteen days to file an amendment to his complaint. (Doc. No. 10.) Plaintiff was cautioned that his failure to comply with the May 29 order would result in a Recommendation that his complaint be dismissed. (*Id.*) The requisite time has passed and Plaintiff has not complied with the order of the court. Consequently, the court concludes that dismissal of this case is appropriate for Plaintiff's failures to prosecute this action and comply with the orders of the court.

Accordingly, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the Magistrate Judge that this case be DISMISSED without prejudice for Plaintiff's failures to prosecute this action and comply with the orders of this court.

It is further

ORDERED that the parties are DIRECTED to file any objections to the said Recommendation on or before July 9, 2007. Any objections filed must specifically identify

the findings in the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation to which a party objects. Frivolous,

conclusive or general objections will not be considered by the District Court. The parties are

advised that this Recommendation is not a final order of the court and, therefore, it is not

appealable.

Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations in the

Magistrate Judge's report shall bar the party from a *de novo* determination by the District

Court of issues covered in the report and shall bar the party from attacking on appeal factual

findings in the report accepted or adopted by the District Court except upon grounds of plain

error or manifest injustice. Nettles v. Wainwright, 677 F.2d 404 (5th Cir. 1982). See Stein

v. Reynolds Securities, Inc., 667 F.2d 33 (11th Cir. 1982). See also Bonner v. City of

Prichard, 661 F.2d 1206 (11th Cir. 1981) (en banc), adopting as binding precedent all of the

decisions of the former Fifth Circuit handed down prior to the close of business on

September 30, 1981.

DONE, this 26th day of June, 2007.

/s/ Susan Russ Walker

SUSAN RUSS WALKER

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2